

Meeting carbon budgets in the UK – the role of demand

Adrian Gault, Chief Economist Committee on Climate Change

Demand Seminar, 17 May 2017



- 1. The legislated carbon budgets
- 2. Our approach to advising on carbon budgets
- 3. Demand measures in 5CB scenarios
- 4. Next steps



- **1. The legislated carbon budgets**
- 2. Our approach to advising on carbon budgets
- 3. Demand measures in 5CB scenarios
- 4. Next steps

The Climate Change Act & the role of the CCC





The Climate Change Act 2008



Committee on Climate Change – our role



Established by the Climate Change Act 2008 to provide independent advice to Government and Parliament on:

- Emissions limits
- Annual progress
- Adaptation (through ASC)



Lord Deben, Chairman



Baroness Brown, Chair ASC









The Committee on Climate Change – How we work



- Non-departmental public body (NDPB), sponsored by BEIS, Defra
- Value our independence
- We have our own research budget
- Secretariat of a little under 30, mainly analysts

Committed to openness and transparency

- Publish supporting research and analysis
- Memorandum of Understanding with Government Departments over research and use of models
- Stakeholder meetings (mix of bilateral and workshops)
- CCC website

Carbon budgets – legislated out to 2032 - provide stepping stones to the 80% 2050 target



7



Whilst the overall path looks broadly on a straight-line to 2050, the pace of reduction varies by sector





There was a further reduction in UK emissions in 2016







Despite progress, there is a policy gap to meeting the 4th and 5th carbon budgets and preparing for 2050 target



Figure 2. Assessment of current policies against the cost-effective path to meet carbon budgets and the 2050 target



Recent progress in reducing emissions has been almost entirely in power sector



Figure 1. Progress reducing emissions since 2012 has been almost entirely due to the power sector



Change 2015-2016

- Power down 24%
- Transport up 0.9%
- Residential up 4.5%
- Business down 10.0% (closure Redcar steelworks)





- 1. The legislated carbon budgets
- 2. Our approach to advising on carbon budgets
- 3. Demand measures in 5CB scenarios
- 4. Next steps

Broad approach: the cost-effective path





Approach to constructing scenarios





Committee on

Starting point – before measures – is BEIS projections of energy demand and emissions





Deployment of currently known technologies will be of critical importance in meeting 2050 target



- Asset lives of 15-30 years requires early deployment
- The development of new technologies , and their deployment at scale, takes time



We build up a picture, bottom-up, of cost-effective abatement by sector, 2030



Figure 3.6: Abatement in the Central Scenario (total emissions, 2030)





- 1. The legislated carbon budgets
- 2. Our approach to advising on carbon budgets
- **3. Demand measures in 5CB scenarios**
- 4. Next steps

Our projections of final electricity demand take account of energy efficiency measures and new demands from electrification of heat and surface transport





DfT baseline projection of emissions from surface transport rising









But most abatement in our central scenario for surface transport is from more efficient conventional vehicles and shift to electric vehicles





Residential buildings - lately action to reduce emissions in homes, and bills, has stalled...





...as has action to improve the energy efficiency of commercial buildings.



Funder: "I would finance but there is no demand from occupiers"

Developer: "The funder won't finance, the tenants are not asking for improvements"

Contractor: "I could build it but developers don't ask."

Tenant: "There are no energy efficient buildings and energy is not a material cost."

"Circle of blame", Carbon Trust 2009

- Information and reporting has little impact:
 - Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs)
 - Display energy certificates (public buildings)
 - energy audits (Energy Savings Opportunity Scheme for large business)
- Need to focus on:
 - Actual not modelled performance
 - Board-level reporting, "salience"
 - Identification of low cost options
 - Comparisons with peers

We include range of measures to reduce emissions from the residential building stock



Table 3.1: Residential sector Central scenario to 2030				
Measure	Cumulative uptake from 2008	Direct abatement (MtCO ₂)	Electricity savings (TWh)	
Retrofit heat pumps	1.2 m homes *	2	1	
Heat pumps, new-build	1.1 m homes	1.5	-2.5	
Heat networks	14 TWh / 1.5 m homes*	2	-1	
Biomass boilers	0.3 m homes	1	3.5	
Solid wall insulation	2 m homes	1	1.5	
Cavity wall and loft insulation top-up	6 + 9 m homes	3	1	
Other fabric measures	-	0.5	0.0	
Lighting and appliances	-	-3	25.5	
Heating controls	-	0.5	0	
Hot water efficiency measures	-	1.5	0.5	
Behavioural measures	-	1.5	0.5	
Glazing	-	0.5	0.5	
Total abatement		13		
Residual emissions by 2030		60		

But the longer-term story of how we decarbonise heat is to be resolved. We can say broadly where we need to be in 30-40 years.





Getting there requires effective roll-out of each option now – and associated investment in training, certification and supply chains.



27



"...no consensus on the best mix of these technologies... We need a clearer shared understanding of the potential, the costs and the benefits ... Our ambition is to be able to agree in the next few years, together, on the right long-term direction for heat policy" (Baroness Neville-Rolfe, then BEIS Minister, December 2016)



- 1. The legislated carbon budgets
- 2. Our approach to advising on carbon budgets
- 3. Demand measures in 5CB scenarios
- 4. Next steps

Having legislated the 5th budget, the Government has to prepare a report on how it will be met "as soon as is reasonably practicable"





- The Clean Growth Plan is awaited. It has now been delayed by the Election
- When the plan appears it will be a priority for the Committee to provide an assessment

We will continue to build the evidence base. This will feed into annual progress reports to Parliament and the our advice on the 6th carbon budget which will be due by end 2020



We are currently taking forward research on:

- Flexibility indicators for the power sector
- Requirements for electric vehicle battery re-charging network

We intend to look further at:

- Potential scale of contribution from bioenergy and hydrogen
- Aviation strategy
- Agriculture abatement

To meet Paris Agreement aims may need to go further than currently legislated 5th carbon budget



- The UK 2050 target (at least 80% reduction in emissions) was derived as a contribution to a global emissions path keeping global average temperature rise to around 2°C above preindustrial levels
- Paris Agreement aims for "well below" 2°C; to "pursue efforts" for 1.5°C; and sets target of net zero global emissions in 2nd half this century
- CCC advice not to set a new UK emissions target now, but to vigorously pursue measures to deliver the existing commitments, and maintain flexibility to go further.



Figure 3.1. Residual UK greenhouse gas emissions in 2050 under Max deployment across all sectors

We currently have no scenarios for how the UK can achieve net zero domestic emissions

In providing our advice on the 5th carbon budget we considered "barriers" and "max" scenarios as well as a central scenario ... and our analysis of the powers sector also considered a "low demand" scenario to 2030



Sector	Barriers Scenario	Max Scenario
Power	Further delays or failure to roll out nuclear or CCS	Greater deployment of low-carbon generation as costs fall more quickly than anticipated
Industry	Lower uptake of energy efficiency and failure to deploy CCS	Greater electrification in industry and wider adoption of CCS
Buildings	Lower levels of deployment of heat pumps and fewer energy efficiency measures	Greater deployment of low-carbon heat and energy efficiency options
Transport	Reduced uptake of low emissions vehicles	Greater change in travel behaviour, and better alignment of real-world emissions with test cycle
Agriculture	Slow introduction of measures to manage soils and crops, failure to reduce emissions from vehicles	Greater uptake of alternative diets for animals, new crops and more efficient vehicles
Waste and F-gases	More limited diversion of biodegradable waste streams from landfill with less of UK participating in such programmes	No further abatement beyond the Central Scenario due to limited evidence

How can we build on this – possibly to consider a wider range of scenarios?



Thank you

For more information, see our website <u>https://www.theccc.org.uk/</u>

Energy prices and bills – for households, energy efficiency improvements can more than offset impact of low-carbon policies to 2030





For energy-intensive industries cost compensation and exemptions should remain so long as there are differences in low-carbon policy costs between the UK and international competitors